

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/002,811	10/002,811 11/02/2001		Aaron L. Strand	47097-01100	3820	
28763	763 7590 11/22/2005		EXAMINER			
WINSTON			CHOI, ST	CHOI, STEPHEN		
1700 K STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20006				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3724		

DATE MAILED: 11/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			e				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/002,811	STRAND, AARON	L.				
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Stephen Choi	3724					
•	ars on the cover sheet with the c		ress				
r o ollo No np	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. r on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of ollowing replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or onpliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the						
Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO 7(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have an and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) on the after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any							
ompliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date y extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. st be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
co elc	but prior to the date of filing a brie insideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);					
be	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
ја а)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.					
1.′ 1(s	121. See attached Notice of Non-Co):	·					
e a	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendm	nent canceling				
	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ worlded below or appended.	rill be entered and an	explanation of				
			·				
, b ar	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				

Advisory Action	10/002,811	STRAND, AARON L.						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Stephen Choi	3724						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe			ress					
THE REPLY FILED 02 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS								
. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. 								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
AMENDMENTS		f will not be entered	h-201100					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,			because					
 (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s								
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		, timely filed amendm	nent canceling					
the non-allowable claim(s).								
For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) \(\subseteq \) will not be entered, or b) \(\subseteq \) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	•		•					
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary							
☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>								
12. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper 1	No(s)						
13. Other:		\mathcal{N} .						

STEPHEN CHOI PRIMARY EXAMINER Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant contends that the device of Fitz is not an apparatus for cutting a guide notch into a zipper of a polymeric bag and a guide mechansim cannot engage ends of the guide notch. However, as stated in the final rejection of 5/9/05 (resent 9/2/05), Fitz discloses all the recited structural elements of the invention as claimed in claims 25 and 28 and is capable of cutting a guide notch into a zipper of polymeric bag. Furthermore, the guide mechanism of Fitz is also capable of engaging ends of the guide notch and disposed to engage and guide a trailing edge of a guide notch. Regarding applicant's argument with respect to claims 27, 29, and 30, the examiner has repeatedly stated that statement regarding the common knowledge set forth in the office action of 11/18/03 is taken to be admitted prior art because applicant failed to traverse the examiner's assertion. Regarding a request for a formal interview, the examiner acknowledged the request in the office action of 5/9/05 (resent on 9/2/05) and invited the applicant to call the examiner to further discuss the present application if necessary. However, the examiner did not receive any call from the applicant.